From: HarborComments < HarborComments@epa.gov>

Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2016 8:09 PM

To: PortlandHarbor

Subject: FW: Clean Up Portland Harbor **Attachments:** 344852849791752065.pdf

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2016 12:41 PM

To: HarborComments

Subject: Re: Clean Up Portland Harbor

Letter Dr. Ms. McCarthy, The proposed cleanup of the Portland Harbor is a big win for industry and a bad deal for the public. EPA's cleanup proposal tackles just 8% of a site area that is 100% toxic. A more aggressive plan is needed to prevent even more harm to human health and the environment. On behalf of all people who rely on the river for food, recreation, employment and culture, I urge the EPA to implement a plan that: Moves quickly and sustainably reduces contaminants causing harm to Willamette and Columbia River resources. Includes ongoing monitoring and cleanup upriver and downriver from the site. Contributes to healthy fish that are safe to eat for all people. Holds polluters accountable for creating a safer Portland Harbor. These elements get us closer to the plan our communities deserve. And I deserve a clean, safe Portland Harbor. *Submitted during the comment period between June 9, 2016 to August 8, 2016 regarding the EPA's Portland Harbor Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan. First Name (b) (6) Last Name (b) (6) E-mail Dr. Ms. McCarthy, The proposed cleanup of the plan for industry and a bad deal for the public. EPA's Portland Proposed Plan.		
Portland Harbor is a big win for industry and a bad deal for the public. EPA's cleanup proposal tackles just 8% of a site area that is 100% toxic. A more aggressive plan is needed to prevent even more harm to human health and the environment. On behalf of all people who rely on the river for food, recreation, employment and culture, I urge the EPA to implement a plan that: Moves quickly and sustainably reduces contaminants causing harm to Willamette and Columbia River resources. Includes ongoing monitoring and cleanup upriver and downriver from the site. Contributes to healthy fish that are safe to eat for all people. Holds polluters accountable for creating a safer Portland Harbor. These elements get us closer to the plan our communities deserve. And I deserve a clean, safe Portland Harbor. *Submitted during the comment period between June 9, 2016 to August 8, 2016 regarding the EPA's Portland Harbor Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan. First Name (b) (6) Last Name (b) (6)	Clean Up Portland Harbor	
Last Name (b) (6)		Portland Harbor is a big win for industry and a bad deal for the public. EPA's cleanup proposal tackles just 8% of a site area that is 100% toxic. A more aggressive plan is needed to prevent even more harm to human health and the environment. On behalf of all people who rely on the river for food, recreation, employment and culture, I urge the EPA to implement a plan that: Moves quickly and sustainably reduces contaminants causing harm to Willamette and Columbia River resources. Includes ongoing monitoring and cleanup upriver and downriver from the site. Contributes to healthy fish that are safe to eat for all people. Holds polluters accountable for creating a safer Portland Harbor. These elements get us closer to the plan our communities deserve. And I deserve a clean, safe Portland Harbor. *Submitted during the comment period between June 9, 2016 to August 8, 2016 regarding the EPA's Portland
(2) (3)		(b) (6)
E-mail (b) (6)	Last Name	(b) (6)
	E-mail	(b) (6)

Message (500 Character Limit)

I am very concerned about EPA's proposed split of responsibilities with the ODEQ required to do all on shore & upland clean-up. Since the river was dredged in 1977, doesn't this relieve the EPA of the lion's share of the total clean up? Since the hot spots are on shore, this leaves the ODEQ with the heavy lifting despite having fewer resources than the Federal government. Also, the state has fewer financial & legal resources with which to pursue the PRPs; this means that it won't get done!